UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES

FILED

		2008 AUG 19 PM 3: 02	
ТО	: 🗹 U. S. DISTRI	CT JUDGE / U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE Jones CLEDK IS DISTRICT COURT	
FROM: R. Mullin, Deputy Clerk RECEIVED DATE: 8/18/2008			
CA	SE NO.: <u>08cv117</u>	(8 J (NLS) DOCUMENT FILED BY: Plaintiffey DEPUTY	
CASE TITLE: Gray v. Doe, et al			
DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Motion to reorder and reissue motion to proceed in forma pauperis			
Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:			
1	Local Rule	Discrepancy	
	5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation	
	5.3	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper	
	5.4	Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.	
	7.1 or 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely	
	7.1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document	
	7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions	
	7.1	Missing table of contents	
	15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself	
	30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order	
		Supplemental documents require court order	
		Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest	
X		OTHER: Case dismissed as duplicative.	
Date forwarded: <u>8/18/2008</u>			
ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE T IS HEREBY ORDERED:			
X	The decomposition to the first to		
7	The document is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received. File as Motion +		
J	The document is N copy of this order of	he document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a opy of this order on all parties.	
	Rejected document	to be returned to pro se or inmate? Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers	
	Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant to Local Rule 83.1		
	1 _	CHAMBERS OF: Nulge Jones By: SMC 1PS (1)	
	Dated: Sparties Cc: All Parties	By: PSC	